The lecture and article are both fossilized bees. The author of the reading believes that bees are not exist 200 million years ago and provide three reasons for support.

The lecture says that bees exist at 200 million years ago and reject all the reasons that are provided by article.

First of all, the passage claims that, there is no evidence of fossilized bee in 200 million years ago. The professor challenges this claim. She mentions that there is true that 200 million years fossilized bee does not exist but it because in that time there is no tree for producing resin which is essential for keeping the bee in form of fossil.

Secondly, the passage sates bee needs flower to feed in order to live. therefore, in 200 milion years ago beacuse there was not any flowers so no bee be exist. The lectures rebuts this claim. She says that maybe bee used another source of foods like trees and other ind of plants. She also explains that after arrival of flowers bees are adapted to them.

Finally, the passage states that fossilzed bee found in arizoan is lie modenen bees beacuse lack of details. The professor refuses this claim. She explains that maybe the land spoiled the details of the fossilized bees body and fossilized bee are related to 200 milion years ago not modern bees.